Radiative corrections to $e^+e^-\to\pi^+\pi^-$ scattering

Andrea Gurgone

In collaboration with E. Budassi, C. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, F. Piccinini, F. Ucci

INIVERSITÀ PAVIA

60th International School of Subnuclear Physics

New Talent Session – 15 June 2024

Hadronic contribution to muon $g - 2$

- The hadronic contribution to muon $g 2$ cannot be computed using perturbation theory
- The evaluation requires data-driven methods or lattice simulations
- \bullet The time-like (or dispersive) approach employs $\sigma\left(\mathrm{e^+e^-} \to \mathrm{hadrons}\right)$ data
- Large uncertainty arising from the several resonances in the low-energy cross section

$$
a_{\mu}^{HLO} \propto \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{K(s)R(s)}{s^{2}}
$$

$$
R(s) = \frac{\sigma(e^{+}e^{-} \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^{+}e^{-} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}
$$

 $K(s) \sim 1/s \rightarrow$ smooth

The $e^+e^-\to \pi^+\pi^-$ scattering

- $\bullet\,\,e^+e^-\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^-$ accounts for **72%** of a_μ^{had} and **78%** of δa_μ^{had}
- Pion forward-backward asymmetry w.r.t. initial e^- direction (θ) :

$$
A_{FB}(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\sigma_F(\cos\theta > 0) - \sigma_B(\cos\theta < 0)}{\sigma_F(\cos\theta > 0) + \sigma_B(\cos\theta < 0)}
$$

- First direct measurement by the CMD-3 experiment, used for systematic error analysis
- Process implemented in the fully exclusive Monte Carlo event generator BabaYaga@NLO at NLO+PS with $m_e \neq 0$
- \bullet At leading order $\mathsf{d}\sigma\propto|\pmb{\mathsf{F}}_\pi|^2\;\;\longrightarrow\;\;$ Pion form factor:

$$
\langle \pi^{\pm}(\rho^{\prime})|j_{\rm em}^{\mu}(0)|\pi^{\pm}(\rho)\rangle = \pm (\rho^{\prime}+\rho)^{\mu}F_{\pi}\left((\rho^{\prime}-\rho)^2\right)\times
$$

• And beyond leading order? Three different possible approaches

Factorised sQED approach: ISR and FSR

• NLO Initial-State Radiation (ISR):

• NLO Final-State Radiation (ISR):

[1] G. Rodrigo, H. Czyz, J. H. Kuhn, M. Szopa, Radiative return at NLO and the measurement of the hadronic cross-section in electron–positron annihilation, Eur.Phys.J.C 24 (2002) 71-82

Factorised sQED approach: IFI

• NLO Initial-Final Interference (IFI):

• The FsQED approach is justified because the IR divergence appears when

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} q_1 \rightarrow 0 & \implies & \digamma_{\pi}(q_1^2) \rightarrow 1 \quad \digamma_{\pi}(q_2^2) \rightarrow \digamma_{\pi}(s) \\[2mm] q_2 \rightarrow 0 & \implies & \digamma_{\pi}(q_2^2) \rightarrow \digamma_{\pi}(s) \quad \digamma_{\pi}(q_2^2) \rightarrow 1 \end{array}
$$

- But this is valid only in the soft limit \rightarrow Is it enough?
- IFI is odd in $\cos \theta_{\pm} \rightarrow$ It induces the forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}

Forward-backward asymmetry in BabaYaga@NLO: 1st try

The GVMD approach

- Based on the generalised vector meson dominance introduced by Sakurai
- The form factor can be written as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions

$$
F_{\pi}(q^2) = \sum_{v=0}^N c_v \frac{\Lambda_v^2}{\Lambda_v^2 - q^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{v=0}^N c_v = 1
$$

$$
\Lambda_v^2 = m_v^2 - im_v \Gamma_v \qquad c_v = |c_v| e^{i\phi_v}
$$

- \bullet Pros: writing $F_{\pi}(q^2)$ in a propagator-like form allows one to solve the loop integral with standard techniques without further approximations
- \bullet Cons: model-dependent and Im $\mathcal{F}_\pi(q^2< 4m_\pi^2)\neq 0\;\longrightarrow\;$ breaks unitarity!

[3] F. Ignatov and R. N. Lee, *Charge asymmetry in e* + $e^ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ process, Phys. Lett. B 833 (2022) 137283

Forward-backward asymmetry in BabaYaga@NLO: 2nd try

The dispersive approach

- Based on the general assumptions of unitarity and analyticity
- The form factor can be decomposed using the dispersion relation

$$
\frac{F_{\pi}(q^2)}{q^2} = \frac{1}{q^2 - \lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'} \frac{\text{Im} F_{\pi}(s')}{s'(q^2 - s')}
$$

• In terms of a finite photon mass $\lambda \to 0$, the IFI matrix element is splitted as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{IF}^{\text{disp}} = \mathcal{M}_{IF}^{\text{point}}(\lambda^2, \lambda^2) \qquad \text{pole-pole}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'} \ln F_{\pi}(s') \left[\mathcal{M}_{IF}^{\text{point}}(s', \lambda^2) + \mathcal{M}_{IF}^{\text{point}}(\lambda^2, s') \right] \qquad \text{pole-disp}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'} \frac{ds''}{s''} \ln F_{\pi}(s') \ln F_{\pi}(s'') \mathcal{M}_{IF}^{\text{point}}(s', s'') \qquad \text{disp-disp}
$$

[4] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, J. Monnard, and J. R. de Elvira, Radiative corrections to the forward-backward asymmetry in $\mathrm{e^+e^-} \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, JHEP 08 (2022) 295

Forward-backward asymmetry in BabaYaga@NLO: 3rd try

An ongoing community effort

Coming soon: Radiative corrections and Monte Carlo tools for low-energy hadronic cross sections in e^+e^- collisions

