







A novel SiPM-based aerogel RICH detector for the future ALICE 3 apparatus at the LHC

Nicola Nicassio (University and INFN Bari) ISSP – 60° Course: Special Session for New Talents Erice, June 14-23, 2024

Outline



Detector concept

Simulation studies

Test beam results

The ALICE 3 upgrade

ALICE 3 motivation and concept

- ALICE main goal: access the dynamics of the strongly interacting matter produced in heavy-ion collisions
- **Fundamental questions will remain open** after LHC Run 4, demanding for a next-generation experiment
- Letter of Intent for ALICE 3 submitted in March 2022
 <u>ALICE CERN-LHCC-2022-009</u>
- Scoping document submission by next few months

| Processes | Observables | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| Early stages | Dilepton and photon production and flow | | |
| Diffusion | Heavy-flavour correlations and flow | | |
| Hadronization | Multi-charm baryons, quarkonia | | |
| | Pointing resolution: \approx 10 μm at 200 MeV/c | | |
| Detector | Tracking relative p_T resolution: \approx 1-2 % | | |
| requirements | Extensive identification of e, μ , π , K, p, γ | | |
| | Large pseudorapidity coverage: $ \eta < 4$ | | |



The ALICE 3 upgrade

ALICE 3 motivation and concept

- ALICE main goal: access the dynamics of the strongly interacting matter produced in heavy-ion collisions
- **Fundamental questions will remain open** after LHC Run 4, demanding for a next-generation experiment
- Letter of Intent for ALICE 3 submitted in March 2022
 <u>ALICE CERN-LHCC-2022-009</u>
- Scoping document submission by next few months

| Processes | Observables | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| Early stages | Dilepton and photon production and flow | | |
| Diffusion | Heavy-flavour correlations and flow | | |
| Hadronization | Multi-charm baryons, quarkonia | | |
| | Pointing resolution: \approx 10 μm at 200 MeV/c | | |
| Detector | Tracking relative p_T resolution: \approx 1-2 % | | |
| requirements | Extensive identification of e, μ , π , K, p, γ | | |
| | Large pseudorapidity coverage: $ \eta < 4$ | | |



ALICE 3 barrel RICH motivation



ALICE 3 charged PID systems

- Time-Of-Flight: iTOF, oTOF, fTOF
- Ring-Imaging Cherenkov: bRICH, fRICH
- EM Calorimeter: Barrel + forward ECAL
- Muon Identifier Detector: Barrel MID

Let's focus on the bRICH

bRICH motivation

- Extend charged PID beyond the TOF limits
 - π/e in the p range 0.5 2.0 GeV/c
 - K/ π in the p range 2.0 10.0 GeV/c
 - p/K in the p range 4.0 16.0 GeV/c
- → Achieved using aerogel radiator with n ≈ 1.03 + requiring angular resolution $\sigma_{\theta_{ch}} \approx 1.5$ mrad



bRICH technology

ALICE

Aerogel radiator (n=1.03, L = 2 cm)

- Lattice of SiO₂ grains filled with trapped air
- Tunable index in the range 1.006-1.250
- Transmittance dominated by Rayleigh scattering
 - Transparent in the visible, opaque in the UV

SiPM-based photodetector

- Sensors must be sensitive to visible light
- Operation in magnetic field
- Granularity from 3x3 to 1x1 mm²
- Simulations: HPK 13360-3050CS SiPMs

| ŀ | Aerogel n | βth | | Moment | um threshold | [GeV/c] | |
|---|-----------|------------|--------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|
| | | | е | μ | π | K | р |
| | 1.01 | 0.99009901 | 0.0036 | 0.7453 | 0.9845 | 3.4821 | 6.6181 |
| | 1.02 | 0.98039216 | 0.0025 | 0.5257 | 0.6944 | 2.4561 | 4.6681 |
| | 1.03 | 0.97087379 | 0.0021 | 0.4281 | 0.5656 | 2.0005 | 3.8021 |
| | 1.04 | 0.96153846 | 0.0018 | 0.3699 | 0.4886 | 1.7282 | 3.2846 |
| | 1.05 | 0.95238095 | 0.0016 | 0.3300 | 0.4359 | 1.5420 | 2.9307 |
| | | | | | | | |



ALICE 3 barrel RICH (LHC Runs 5-6)



Proximity-focusing RICH based on aerogel+SiPMs in a projective geometry

Components

- Aerogel: $L \approx 2 \text{ cm}$, n = 1.03
- SiPM-based photodetector
- 2x2 mm² cells, PDE(450 nm) > 40%



Geometry

- All tiles oriented toward nominal interaction point
- Full coverage to charged particles without overlaps
- Trapezoidal tile profile to maximize the acceptance

- Segmentation
- 24 sectors x 36 modules
- Sensor area $\approx 30.7 \text{ m}^2$
- Total N channels \approx 7M



bRICH PID in central Pb-Pb



Angle reconstruction

- Based on Hough Transform method
- Timing cut on hit-track matching
- HTM $N_{\rm ph,min}$ cut on clustered hits

Particle identification

- Bayesian approach + probability cut Background
- Photons emitted by different tracks
- Aerogel Rayleigh scattered photons
- SiPM dark count hits (in DAQ gate)







bRICH physics case: Dileptons (I)





 $\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ Pb-Pb \ \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \ TeV \\ 0.25 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.106 \\ m_e^{1} < 0.8 \\ p_{T_e} > 0.2 \ GeV/c \\ DCA_{ee} = 1.2\sigma \\ 0.15 \\ 0.15 \\ ALICE 2.1 \\ ALICE 2.1 \\ HF \ Dkg \\ 0.25 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.15 \\ ALICE 2.1 \\ HF \ Dkg \\ 0.25 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.15 \\ ALICE 2.1 \\ HF \ Dkg \\ 0.25 \\ 0.25 \\ ALICE 2.1 \\ ALICE 2.1$

Dileptons are produced in all stages of a heavy-ion collision No strong interactions \Rightarrow Messengers of collision evolution

Inference of QGP temperature *T* using thermal dielectron m_{ee} spectrum at $m_{ee} > 1.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

Crucial requirements

- Very good electron identification down to low p_T
- Small material budget (γ conversion background)
- Good pointing resolution (heavy flavour decays)

ALICE 3 RICH crucial for high-precision dielectron based QGP temperature measurements



bRICH physics case: Dileptons (II)



Dileptons are produced in all stages of a heavy-ion collision

<u>No strong interactions \Rightarrow Messengers of collision evolution</u>

Probing time dependence of temperature using double-differential spectra of m_{ee} and $p_{T,ee}$

Crucial requirements

- Very good electron identification down to low p_T
- Small material budget (γ conversion background)
- Good pointing resolution (heavy flavour decays)

ALICE 3 RICH crucial for high-precision dielectron based QGP temperature measurements



bRICH physics case: Dileptons (III)



Dileptons are produced in all stages of a heavy-ion collision No strong interactions \Rightarrow Messengers of collision evolution

Probing chiral symmetry restoration (CSR) mechanisms using thermal m_{ee} spectrum for $m_{ee} < 1.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

 $\tau_{
ho} = 1.3 \text{ fm} < \tau_{QGP} \Rightarrow
ho \text{ meson sensitive to medium}$

Modification of ho spectral function related to CSR

<u>High-precision measurements with ALICE 3 provide</u> unique access to CSR mechanisms like $\rho - a_1$ mixing



Option: MIP timing using bRICH SiPMs



Principle of operation

- Introduction of Cherenkov radiator coupled to SiPM layer
- Use SiPM clusters due to radiator photons for MIP timing

Possibility of achieving time resolutions down to \approx 20 ps with \approx 100 % charged particle detection efficiency !!!

Radiator choice

• Use high refractive index material to minimize Cherenkov thresholds and to enhance both photon yield and spread





2022/2023 beam tests @ PS/T10



In collaboration with Mario Nicola Mazziotta, Leonarda Lorusso, Giuliana Panzarini, Roberta Pillera et al. (INFN Bari)



Angular measurements

- **Radiator**: Aerogel, n = 1.03, $T_r = 2$ cm
- **Gap**: Argon, n = 1.00028, T_g = 23.0 cm
- **Sensors**: 8 x HPK S13552, V_{ov} = 4.6 V

Timing measurements

- HPK S13361-3075 + 1mm quartz/MgF₂
- HPK S13361-3075 + no window
- HPK S13361-1350 + 2mm quartz

Ancillatory detectors

- **Triggering**: Beam plastic scintillator
- Tracking: 2 X-Y fiber tracker module
 - 1 mm read-out pitch

SiPM cooling: Water chiller + 5 Peltier devices \Rightarrow Measured operation temperature in [-5°,0°]

Angular resolution measurements



Analysis strategy

<u>Event selection</u>

Requiring signal in a fiducial area of the fiber tracker planes (T0,T1) and the SiPM matrices (M0,M1)

<u>Charged particle tracking</u>

4-points straight line fit to extract the track position in the middle of aerogel and track director cosines

- Single photon Cherenkov angle Hit geometric backpropagation from all hit positions to the median plane of the aerogel tile
- <u>Time cut for DCR suppression</u>

$$\left|t_{hit,array} - t_{max-q,M0}\right| < 5 \text{ ns}$$

Fit model for angular distribution Assuming Gaussian signals and template bkg. distribution from time-uncorrelated hits w.r.t. MIP

We measured a single photon resolution $\sigma_{\theta} \approx 3.8$ mrad





Nicola Nicassio – University and INFN Bari, Italy

Charged particle timing measurements



Analysis strategy

<u>Event selection</u>

Signal in fiducial area of tracker (T0,T1) and matrices (M0,M1)

- Fine time calibration Channel-by-channel level
- <u>Channel intrinsic offset correction</u> Different delays for different SiPMs: routing, cabling, etc.
- <u>Time walk correction</u>

Intrinsic offset between signals with a different number of p.e.

<u>Timing operations</u>

Comparing M0 and M1 response Extrapolating M0 / M1 resolution

Note: The results on timing include both the intrinsic SiPM resolution and the electronics (jitter, TDC, etc.)



Conclusions



Summary

- Simulation studies show that the **proposed bRICH** fulfills the ALICE 3 PID requirements, in particular in the extreme high-multiplicity environment expected in central Pb-Pb events
- Breakthrough concept of **TOF measurements** using bRICH SiPMs is currently under study and very promising results on the achievable arrival time resolution have been obtained
- **R&Ds**: Aerogel and SiPM characterization, radiation hardness, bRICH mechanics, cooling

Outlook

- **2024-2025**: Selection of technologies, small-scale prototypes
- **2026-2027**: Large-scale prototypes, Technical Design Report

Thank you for your attention!



Thank you for your attention

Nicola.Nicassio@ba.infn.it



Backup

ALICE 3 motivation



Main experimental goal of the ALICE Collaboration

Study the microscopic dynamics of the strongly-interacting matter produced in heavy-ion collisions

BU

Run 3+4 will allow systematic measurements of

- Medium effects on single heavy-flavour hadrons
- Time averaged thermal QGP radiation
- Collective effects from small to large systems

Fundamental questions will remain open

- QGP properties driving constituents to equilibrium
- Partonic EoS and its temperature dependence
- Underlying dynamics of chiral symmetry restoration
- Hadronization mechanisms of the QGP

Substantial improvement needed in detector performance and statistics





ALICE 3 timeline



ALICE 3 milestones

- Idea for next-generation heavy-ion programme for LHC Run 5 and 6 developed within ALICE in 2018/19
 - First ideas at Heavy-Ion town meeting (2018)
 - Expression of Interest submitted as input to the
 European Strategy for Particle Physics Update (2019)

arXiv:1902.01211

• Letter of Intent for ALICE 3: Review concluded with very positive feedback by the LHCC in March 2022

ALICE CERN-LHCC-2022-009

• **Scoping Document** submission by the next few months



ALICE 3 physics goals



Fundamental questions for our understanding of QGP will remain open after LHC Runs 3 and 4

Early stages: temperature, chiral symmetry restoration

Dilepton and photon production, elliptic flow

Heavy flavour diffusion and thermalization in the QGP

• Beauty and charm flow, charm hadron correlation

Hadronization in heavy-ion collisions

- Multi-charm baryon production: quark recombination
- Quarkonia, exotic mesons: dissociation and regeneration

Understanding fluctuations of conserved charges

Hadron correlation and fluctuation measurements

Nature of exotic hadrons

Momentum correlations, production yields and dacays

Beyond QGP physics

- Ultra-soft photon production: test of Low's theorem
- Search for axion-like particles in ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb
- Search for super-nuclei (c-deuteron, c-triton)



Nicolo Nicoccio - University and INEN Deri Italy

ALICE 3 detector concept

Key requirements

- Retractable vertex detector
- Compact and light all-silicon tracker
- Superconducting magnet system
- Extensive particle identification
- Large acceptance: $|\eta| < 4$
- Continuous readout + online processing







Option: MIP timing using bRICH SiPMs



Principle of operation

- Introduction of Cherenkov radiator coupled to SiPM layer
- Use SiPM clusters due to radiator photons for MIP timing

Possibility of achieving time resolutions down to \approx 20 ps with \approx 100 % charged particle detection efficiency !!!

Radiator choice

• Use high refractive index material to minimize Cherenkov thresholds and to enhance both photon yield and spread





| Material | Refractive index at 400 nm | $m{eta}_{thr.}$ | $p_{thr.,\pi^{\pm}}$ [MeV/c] | Max θ_{c} [degree] | N* _{p.e.} at saturat. [mm ⁻¹] |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| NaF | 1.33 | 0.75 | 159 | 41.3 | 13 |
| MgF ₂ | 1.40 | 0.71 | 142 | 44.3 | 14 |
| SiO ₂ | 1.47 | 0.68 | 129 | 47.9 | 16 |
| Silicone resin | 1.50 | 0.66 | 124 | 48.2 | 16 |
| Epoxy resin | 1.55 | 0.64 | 117 | 49.8 | 17 |
| High-n Corning | 1.84 | 0.54 | 90 | 57.1 | 21 |

bRICH: Performance vs η and β





- N_{p.e} ∝ sin²θ_c ⊕ phot. acceptance - Remember: cos θ_c = 1/nβ
- Loss of photons at sec. Boundaries
- Less photons from MIPs with $oldsymbol{eta} \ll 1$



Single photon resolution

- Expected: $\sigma_{\theta_c}^{p.e.} = \sqrt{\sum_i \sigma_{\theta_c}^2(i)}$
 - *i* = chrom, geom, pixel, tracking
- Worst $\sigma_{\theta_c}^{p.e.}$ at $\eta \approx 0.9$ for sectors where the gap thickness is smaller
- Better $\sigma^{p.e.}_{ heta_c}$ for MIPs with $oldsymbol{eta}\ll 1$



Ring angular resolution

• Expected:
$$\sigma_{\theta_c}^{ring} = \frac{\sigma_{\theta_c}^{p.e.}}{\sqrt{N_{p.e}}}$$

- Excellent $\sigma^{ring}_{ heta_c}$ vs both η and eta
- Minor worsening at boundaries



Heavy-quark correlations





Angular decorrelation of heavy-flavour hadrons

Probeing QGP scattering

- Sensitive to energy loss and thermalization degree
- Strongest signal at low p_T
- Requires high purity, efficiency and η coverage

Heavy-ion measurement only possible with ALICE 3



3

 $\Delta \phi$ (rad)

Multi-charm baryon reconstruction



y (cm)

