Manifesting colour-kinematics duality and the double copy Homotopy algebras, pure spinors and twistor space

Leron Borsten University of Hertfordshire

60th International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice 14–23 Jun 2024

Based on work with: Alexandros Anastasiou, Michael J. Duff, Mia Hughes, Branislav Jurco, Hyungrok Kim, Alessio Marrani, Silvia Nagy, Tommaso Macrelli, Christian Saemann, Martin Wolf and Michele Zoccali

Introduction: gravity as the square of gauge theory

• Is gravity the double copy of the other fundamental forces of Nature? [Feynman; Papini; Kawai, Lewellen, Tye; Berends, Giele, Kuijf; Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Perelstein , Rozowsky. . .]

Introduction: gravity as the square of gauge theory

- Is gravity the double copy of the other fundamental forces of Nature? [Feynman; Papini; Kawai, Lewellen, Tye; Berends, Giele, Kuijf; Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Perelstein , Rozowsky. . .]
- Renaissance: Bern–Carrasco–Johansson Colour–Kinematics (CK) duality conjecture and double copy of gauge theory and gravity scattering amplitudes [Bern, Carrasco, Johansson '08, '10; Bern, Dennen, Huang, Kiermaier '10]

 \rightarrow New insights into the underlying theories themselves

Introduction: colour-kinematics duality

Bern-Carrasco-Johansson colour-kinematics duality conjecture 2008:

$$
c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \Rightarrow n_i + n_j + n_k = 0
$$

Proven at tree level [Stieberger '09; Bjerrum, Bohr, Damgaard, Vanhove '09; Du, Teng '16; Bridges, Mafra '19; Mizera '19; Reiterer '19. . .]

Conjectured at loop level with highly non-trivial examples [Bern, Carrasco, Johansson '08 '10; Carrasco, Johansson '11; Bern, Davies, Dennen, Huang, Nohle '13; Bern, Davies, Dennen '14. . .]

Assuming colour-kinematics duality is realised, gravity comes for free:

Very hard to see at the level of field theory and action pricinples

Very hard to see at the level of field theory and action pricinples

Having discovered colour-kinematics duality on-shell can we now go back off-shell and perhaps learn something new?

Very hard to see at the level of field theory and action pricinples

Having discovered colour-kinematics duality on-shell can we now go back off-shell and perhaps learn something new?

There is a mathematically precise understanding of colour-kinematics duality at the level of actions that can be used to understand new and old examples

Introduction: categorification

2-arrows form a group under horizontal composition

2-arrows form a groupoid under vertical composition

Interchange law: horizontal and vertical composition are coherent

Lie 2-group \rightarrow Lie 2-algebra \rightarrow strict 2-term L_{∞} -algebra

Colour-kinematics duality

Symmetry (possibly anomalous) of action with kinematic (homotopy) Lie algebra derived from underlying (homotopy) BV-algebra

[Borsten, Jurčo, Kim, Macrelli, Saemann, Wolf (BJKMSW) '20, '21, '22, '23]

- Self-dual (super) Yang–Mills theories in $D = 4$
- (Super) Yang–Mills theories in all dimensions
- M2-brane world-volume theories

Colour-kinematics duality

Symmetry (possibly anomalous) of action with kinematic (homotopy) Lie algebra derived from underlying (homotopy) BV-algebra

[Borsten, Jurčo, Kim, Macrelli, Saemann, Wolf (BJKMSW) '20, '21, '22, '23]

- Self-dual (super) Yang–Mills theories in $D = 4$
- (Super) Yang–Mills theories in all dimensions
- M2-brane world-volume theories

Double copy

Gravity = gauge \times gauge \rightarrow tensor product of BV \blacksquare -algebras

[BJKMSW '20, '23; see also Bonezzi, Chiaffrino, Díaz–Jaramillo, Hohm '23]

- Bi-form gravity in $D = 2 + 1$
- Cubic pure spinor action for supergravity

Manifesting colour-kinematics duality in the Batalin–Vilkovisky formalism

Manifest colour-kinematics duality of tree-level physical S-matrix

There is a Yang–Mills action such that the Feynman diagrams yield amplitudes manifesting colour-kinematics duality for tree-level amplitudes:

[Bern, Dennen, Huang, Kiermaier '10; Tolotti, Weinzierl '13]

Manifest colour-kinematics duality of tree-level physical S-matrix

There is a Yang–Mills action such that the Feynman diagrams yield amplitudes manifesting colour-kinematics duality for tree-level amplitudes:

$$
A\square A + \partial AAA + \frac{\square}{\square} AAAA + \frac{\partial^3}{\square^2} AAAAA + \cdots
$$

+.....

[Bern, Dennen, Huang, Kiermaier '10; Tolotti, Weinzierl '13]

 \blacktriangleright

Manifest colour-kinematics duality of tree-level physical S-matrix

This can be strictified to have only cubic interactions through infinite tower of auxiliaries [Bern, Dennen, Huang, Kiermaier '10; Tolotti, Weinzierl '13; BJKMSW '21]

$$
S_{\text{on-shell CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \text{tr} \int d^D x \frac{1}{2} A_\mu \Box A^\mu + \frac{1}{2} g \partial_\mu A_\nu [A^\mu, A^\nu]
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2} B^{\mu\nu\kappa} \Box B_{\mu\nu\kappa} - g (\partial_\mu A_\nu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial^\kappa B_{\kappa\mu\nu}) [A^\mu, A^\nu]
$$

+
$$
C^{\mu\nu} \Box \bar{C}_{\mu\nu} + C^{\mu\nu\kappa} \Box \bar{C}_{\mu\nu\kappa} + C^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \Box \bar{C}_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} +
$$

+
$$
g C^{\mu\nu} [A_\mu, A_\nu] + g \partial_\mu C^{\mu\nu\kappa} [A_\nu, A_\kappa] - \frac{g}{2} \partial_\mu C^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} [\partial_{[\nu} A_{\kappa]}, A_\lambda]
$$

+
$$
g \bar{C}^{\mu\nu} (\frac{1}{2} [\partial^\kappa \bar{C}_{\kappa\lambda\mu}, \partial^\lambda A_\nu] + [\partial^\kappa \bar{C}_{\kappa\lambda\nu\mu}, A^\lambda]) + \cdots
$$

Purely cubic colour-kinematics duality manifesting Feynman diagrams:

$$
A_{\text{YM}}^{n,0} = \sum_{i} \frac{c_i n_i}{d_i} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \Rightarrow n_i + n_j + n_k = 0
$$

To lift to loop-level we should include off-shell unphysical/ghost modes in the external states so that we can glue trees into loops

Extend CK-duality to off-shell unphysical/ghost modes in the external states, the full BRST-extended state space

$$
(A_\mu{}^{\bm{a}},b^{\bm{a}},c^{\bm{a}},\bar c^{\bm{a}})
$$

[Anastasiou, LB, Duff, Hughes, Nagy, Zoccali '14 '18; LB, Nagy '20; BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

Longitudinal gluons $p_i \cdot \varepsilon_i \neq 0$ on external states \Rightarrow

colour-kinematics duality fails

Manifest colour-kinematics duality of tree-level BRST extended S-matrix

Longitudinal gluons $p_i \cdot \varepsilon_i \neq 0$ on external states \Rightarrow

colour-kinematics duality fails

Compensate for these failures with new BRST-exact vertices [BJKMSW '20]:

$$
S_{\text{on-shell BRST-extended CK}}^{\text{YM}} = S_{\text{on-shell CK}}^{\text{YM}} + Q \Psi \text{CK}
$$

Longitudinal gluons $p_i \cdot \varepsilon_i \neq 0$ on external states \Rightarrow

colour-kinematics duality fails

Compensate for these failures with new BRST-exact vertices [BJKMSW '20]:

$$
S_{\text{on-shell BRST-extended CK}}^{\text{YM}} = S_{\text{on-shell CK}}^{\text{YM}} + \left[Q \Psi_{\text{CK}} \right]
$$
\n
$$
S_{\text{BRST-extended CK}}^{\text{YM}} = S_{\text{on-shell CK}}^{\text{YM}} + \int d^D x \frac{1}{2} b_a \Box b^a - \bar{c}_a \Box c^a
$$
\n
$$
- K_{1a}^\mu \Box \bar{K}_\mu^{1a} - K_{2a}^\mu \Box \bar{K}_\mu^{2a} - g f_{abc} \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu (A_\mu^b c^c)
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} B_a^{\mu \nu \kappa} \Box B_{\mu \nu \kappa}^a + g f_{abc} \Big(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial^\kappa B_{\kappa \mu \nu}^a \Big) A^{\mu b} A^{\nu c}
$$
\n
$$
- g f_{abc} \Big\{ K_1^{a\mu} (\partial^\nu A_\mu^b) A_\nu^c + [(\partial^\kappa A_\mu^a) A^{b\mu} + \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu c^b] \bar{K}_\mu^{1c} \Big\}
$$
\n
$$
+ g f_{abc} \Big\{ K_2^{a\mu} \Big[(\partial^\nu \partial_\mu c^b) A_\nu^c + (\partial^\nu A_\mu^b) \partial_\nu c^c \Big] + \bar{c}^a A^{b\mu} \bar{K}_\mu^{2c} \Big\} + \cdots
$$

Proof is inductive and constructive: BRST colour-kinematics duality up to *n*-points implies colour-kinematics duality up to $(n + 1)$ -points

Colour-kinematics duality can be realised as a potentially anomalous symmetry of BV/BRST action [BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

Lower of auxiliary fields

\n
$$
A^{ia} = (A^{\mu a}, B^{\mu \nu a}, C^{\mu \nu \rho a}, \cdots)
$$
\n
$$
S_{\text{Off-shell CK dual}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{jb} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}
$$

$$
c_{ab} = c_{(ab)}
$$

\n
$$
f_{abc} = f_{[abc]}
$$

\n
$$
c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^a = 0
$$

\n
$$
f_{[ab|d}f_{c]e}^d = 0
$$

\n
$$
c_{i(j}F_{k)l}^i = 0
$$

\n
$$
F_{[ij|l}F_{[k]m}^l = 0
$$

\n
$$
F_{[ij|l}F_{[k]m}^l = 0
$$

Kinematic structure constants mirror colour structure constants

Colour-kinematics duality can be realised as a potentially anomalous symmetry of BV/BRST action [BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

$$
S^{\text{YM}}_{\text{Off-shell CK dual}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{jb} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}
$$

$$
c_{ab} = c_{(ab)} \t\t f_{abc} = f_{[abc]}
$$
\n
$$
c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^a = 0 \t\t f_{[ab|d}f_{c]e}^d = 0
$$
\n
$$
c_{ij} = C_{(ij)} \t\t F_{ijk} = F_{[ijk]}
$$
\n
$$
C_{i(j}F_{k)l}^i = 0 \t\t F_{[ij|l}F_{[k]m}^l = 0
$$

• Colour-kinematics duality is a symmetry of the action

Colour-kinematics duality can be realised as a potentially anomalous symmetry of BV/BRST action [BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

$$
S_{\text{Off-shell CK dual}}^{\text{YM}}=\int C_{ij}c_{ab}A^{ia}\Box A^{jb}+F_{ijk}f_{abc}A^{ia}A^{jb}A^{kc}
$$

$$
c_{ab} = c_{(ab)} \t\t f_{abc} = f_{[abc]}
$$
\n
$$
c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^a = 0 \t\t f_{[ab|d}f_{c]e}^d = 0
$$
\n
$$
c_{ij} = C_{(ij)} \t\t F_{ijk} = F_{[ijk]}
$$
\n
$$
C_{i(j}F_{k)l}^i = 0 \t\t F_{[ij|l}F_{[k]m}^l = 0
$$

- Colour-kinematics duality is a symmetry of the action
- *Fijk* are structure constants of some kinematic Lie algebra

Colour-kinematics duality can be realised as a potentially anomalous symmetry of BV/BRST action [BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

$$
S^{\text{YM}}_{\text{Off-shell CK dual}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{jb} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}
$$

$$
c_{ab} = c_{(ab)} \t\t f_{abc} = f_{[abc]}
$$
\n
$$
c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^a = 0 \t\t f_{[ab|d}f_{c]e}^d = 0
$$
\n
$$
C_{ij} = C_{(ij)} \t\t F_{ijk} = F_{[ijk]}
$$
\n
$$
C_{i(j}F_{k)l}^i = 0 \t\t F_{[ij|l}F_{|k]m}^l = 0
$$

- Colour-kinematics duality is a symmetry of the action
- *Fijk* are structure constants of some kinematic Lie algebra
- Loop integrands from Feynman rules are colour–kinematics dual, but. . .

Colour-kinematics duality can be realised as a potentially anomalous symmetry of BV/BRST action [BJKMSW '20, '21, '22]

$$
S^{\text{YM}}_{\text{Off-shell CK dual}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{jb} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}
$$

$$
c_{ab} = c_{(ab)} \t\t f_{abc} = f_{[abc]}
$$
\n
$$
c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^a = 0 \t\t f_{[ab]d}f_{c]e}^d = 0
$$
\n
$$
c_{ij} = C_{(ij)} \t\t F_{ijk} = F_{[ijk]}
$$
\n
$$
c_{i(f}F_{k)l}^i = 0 \t\t F_{[ij]l}F_{[k]m}^l = 0
$$

- Colour-kinematics duality is a symmetry of the action
- *Fijk* are structure constants of some kinematic Lie algebra
- Loop integrands from Feynman rules are colour–kinematics dual, but. . .
- \bullet ... going off-shell may induce Jacobians \rightarrow unitarity counterterms

$$
\det\left(\mathbbm{1}+\frac{\delta f(\phi)}{\delta\phi}\right)=\int\mathcal{D}\bar{\chi}\,\mathcal{D}\chi\, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\int\left(\bar{\chi}_I\chi^I+\bar{\chi}_I\frac{\delta f^I}{\delta\phi^J}\chi^J\right)}
$$

 \Rightarrow colour-kinematics duality anomaly

Double copy

BRST/BV action double copy [LB, Nagy '20; BJKMSW '20; '21, '22, '23]

*^Cij ^cabAia*l*Aja* ` *^FijkfabcAiaAjbAkc* ^Ñ *^CijC*˜˜*ı*|˜*Ai*˜*^ı* ^l*Aj*|˜ ` *^FijkF*˜ ˜*ı*|˜*k*˜*Ai*˜*^ı Aj*|˜ *Akk*˜ Parent Yang–Mills theories Daughter gravity theory *S*YM BV ^b *^S*YM BV *^S*gravity BV " ª *dDx* ?´*gR* `¨¨¨ Meiotic reproduction Einstein-Hilbert action ` axion dilaton ! T

Double copy origin of symmetries:

[Anastasiou, Duff, LB, Hughes, Nagy '14; BJKMSW '20]

Questions

Okay, but. . .

- Proof is constructive and inductive: no theoretical understanding/control over higher vertices or the set of auxiliary fields
- No closed form of colour-kinematics duality manifesting action
- No clue (generically) about the kinematic Lie algebra
- May need non-local field redefinitions \Rightarrow colour-kinematics duality anomaly

- a clear mathematical characterisation of higher vertices
- a closed form colour-kinematics duality manifesting action
- to avoid the need for non-local field redefinitions \Rightarrow perfect all-loop
- an understanding of the kinematic Lie algebra
- a tensor product of some structure that generates double copy

Questions

Okay, but. . .

- Proof is constructive and inductive: no theoretical understanding/control over higher vertices or the set of auxiliary fields
- No closed form of colour-kinematics duality manifesting action
- No clue (generically) about the kinematic Lie algebra
- May need non-local field redefinitions \Rightarrow colour-kinematics duality anomaly

So we'd like

- a clear mathematical characterisation of higher vertices
- a closed form colour-kinematics duality manifesting action
- to avoid the need for non-local field redefinitions \Rightarrow perfect all-loop colour-kinematics duality
- an understanding of the kinematic Lie algebra
- a tensor product of some structure that generates double copy

Homotopy algebras and scattering amplitudes

Example: A semistrict Lie 2-algebra is a 2-term L_{∞} -algebra

 $\mathfrak{L} = (V_{-1} \oplus V_0, \mu_k)$

Differential $\mu_1 = [-]$; Lie bracket $\mu_2 = [-, -]$; Jacobiator $\mu_3 = [-, -, -]$.

 $[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{x(y+z)} [[y, z], x] + (-1)^{y(x+z)} [[x, z], y] = -[[x, y, z]]$

Cyclic L_{∞} -algebra

Every Lagrangian quantum field theory is an L_{∞} -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathsf{theory}}$

$$
S_{\text{BV}}^{\text{theory}}[\phi, \phi^+] = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{k!} \langle \phi, \mu_k(\phi, \dots, \phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi, \mu_1(\phi) \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \phi, \mu_2(\phi, \phi) \rangle + \dots
$$
\nKinetic term

\n3-point interaction

Cyclic *L*₀₀-algebra

Every Lagrangian quantum field theory is an L_{∞} -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathsf{theory}}$

$$
S_{\text{BV}}^{\text{theory}}[\phi,\phi^+] = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{k!} \langle \phi, \mu_k(\phi,\cdots,\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi, \mu_1(\phi) \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \phi, \mu_2(\phi,\phi) \rangle + \cdots
$$

L

Minimal model theorem

Every $\mathfrak L$ is quasi-isomorphic (physically equivalent) to an $\tilde{\mathfrak L}\cong (H_{\mu_1}^\bullet(V),\tilde\mu_i)$

Cohomology of kinetic operator = space of on shell states

Cyclic *L*₀₀-algebra

Every Lagrangian quantum field theory is an L_{∞} -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathsf{theory}}$

$$
S_{\text{BV}}^{\text{theory}}[\phi,\phi^+] = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{k!} \langle \phi, \mu_k(\phi,\cdots,\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi, \mu_1(\phi) \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \phi, \mu_2(\phi,\phi) \rangle + \cdots
$$

Minimal model theorem

Every $\mathfrak L$ is quasi-isomorphic (physically equivalent) to an $\tilde{\mathfrak L}\cong (H_{\mu_1}^\bullet(V),\tilde\mu_i)$

$$
A_n^{\text{tree}}[\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n] = \langle \phi_1, \tilde{\mu}_{n-1}(\phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n) \rangle
$$

Cyclic *L*₀₀-algebra

Every Lagrangian quantum field theory is an L_{∞} -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathsf{theory}}$

$$
S_{\text{BV}}^{\text{theory}}[\phi,\phi^+] = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{k!} \langle \phi, \mu_k(\phi,\cdots,\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi, \mu_1(\phi) \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \phi, \mu_2(\phi,\phi) \rangle + \cdots
$$

Minimal model theorem

Every $\mathfrak L$ is quasi-isomorphic (physically equivalent) to an $\tilde{\mathfrak L}\cong (H_{\mu_1}^\bullet(V),\tilde\mu_i)$

$$
A_n^{\text{tree}}[\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n] = \langle \phi_1, \tilde{\mu}_{n-1}(\phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n) \rangle
$$

Colour-kinematics duality and $\mathsf{BV}^{\blacksquare}_{\infty}$ -algebras

Reiterer '18

Colour-kinematics duality of physical tree-level S-matrix is equivalent to a BV_{∞}^- -algebra (deformation of BV_{∞} -algebras of [Galvez–Carrillo, Tonks, Vallette '09])

Colour-kinematics duality and $\mathsf{BV}^{\blacksquare}_{\infty}$ -algebras

Reiterer '18

Colour-kinematics duality of physical tree-level S-matrix is equivalent to a BV_{∞}^- -algebra (deformation of BV_{∞} -algebras of [Galvez–Carrillo, Tonks, Vallette '09])

Off-shell colour-kinematics duality and homotopy algebras

Theory with kinematic Lie algebra \Leftrightarrow a BV $_\infty^+$ -algebra [влкмѕw ˈ21, ˈ22]

 $C = P$

Colour-kinematics duality and $\mathsf{BV}^{\blacksquare}_{\infty}$ -algebras

Reiterer '18

Colour-kinematics duality of physical tree-level S-matrix is equivalent to a BV_{∞}^- -algebra (deformation of BV_{∞} -algebras of [Galvez–Carrillo, Tonks, Vallette '09])

Off-shell colour-kinematics duality and homotopy algebras

Theory with kinematic Lie algebra \Leftrightarrow a BV $_\infty^+$ -algebra [влкмѕw ˈ21, ˈ22]

 $C = P$

 $[x, [y, z]] + [x, [y, z]] + [x, [y, z]] = 0$ up to homotopies

"homotopy Jacobi relations \Leftrightarrow colour-kinematics duality "

See also [Bonezzi, Chiaffrino, Díaz–Jaramillo, Hohm '23] up to four-points

Strictification theorem

Every C_{∞} -algebra is quasi-isomorphic to a commutative algebra with only

 $m_1(-), m_2(-, -)$

Strictification theorem

Every C_{∞} -algebra is quasi-isomorphic to a commutative algebra with only

$$
m_1(-), \quad m_2(-,-)
$$

Off-shell colour-kinematics duality implies strict BV-algebra

A strict BV⁻⁻algebra $\mathfrak B$ is dgca $(V, \mathsf d, m_2)$ with b : $V \to V$ such that

$$
\mathsf{b}^2 = 0, \qquad \blacksquare \ \mathrel{\mathop:}= \ \mathsf{d} \circ \mathsf{b} + \mathsf{b} \circ \mathsf{d}
$$

and b is second order w.r.t $m(-, -)$ so that

$$
[x,y]=\mathsf{b} m_2(x,y)-m_2(\mathsf{b} x,y)-(-1)^x m_2(x,\mathsf{b} y)
$$

is a (shifted) Lie bracket: the kinematic Lie algebra

Chern–Simons theory has off–shell CK duality \Rightarrow Chern–Simons has a BV^{\Box}-algebra [Ben–Shahar, Johansson '21; BJKMSW '22]

$$
S_{\text{BV}}^{\text{CS}} = \int \text{tr}\Big(\tfrac{1}{2}A \wedge \text{d}A + \tfrac{1}{3!}A \wedge [A,A] + A^+ \wedge (\text{d}c + [A,c]) + \tfrac{1}{2}c^+ \wedge [c,c]\Big)
$$

 $dd^{\dagger} + d^{\dagger}d = \Box$

Kinematic Lie algebra given by derived bracket

$$
(-1)^p[\alpha,\beta] = -d^{\dagger}(\alpha \wedge \beta) + d^{\dagger}\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d^{\dagger}\beta
$$

is Schouten–Nijenhuis algebra of totally antisymmetric tensor fields, the natural Gerstenhaber algebra on three-dimensional Minkowski space [BJKMSW '22]

Restricting to fields yields diffeomorphism algebra identified in $Ben-Shahar, Johnson 21]$

Kinematic Lie algebra given by derived bracket

$$
(-1)^p[\alpha,\beta] = -d^{\dagger}(\alpha \wedge \beta) + d^{\dagger}\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p\alpha \wedge d^{\dagger}\beta
$$

is Schouten–Nijenhuis algebra of totally antisymmetric tensor fields, the natural Gerstenhaber algebra on three-dimensional Minkowski space [BJKMSW '22]

Restricting to fields yields diffeomorphism algebra identified in [Ben-Shahar, Johansson '21]

Look for Chern-Simons-type actions!

Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on twistor space

Self-dual super Yang–Mills theory is equivalent to holomorphic Chern–Simons theory on super twistor space $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ with local coordinates $(x^\mu, \eta^i, \lambda^\alpha)$

$$
S_{\text{hCS}} = \int \Omega \wedge \text{tr} \Big(\frac{1}{2} A \wedge \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} A + \frac{1}{3!} A \wedge [A, A] + A^+ \wedge (\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} c + [A, c]) + \frac{1}{2} c^+ \wedge [c, c] \Big),
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \hat{e}^{\alpha} \hat{E}_{\alpha} + \hat{e}^0 \hat{E}_0, \qquad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{4}{|\lambda|^2} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{E_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \hat{\partial}_{\text{red}} + 2\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \hat{e}^0 \wedge
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \square
$$

Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on twistor space

Self-dual super Yang–Mills theory is equivalent to holomorphic Chern–Simons theory on super twistor space $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ with local coordinates $(x^{\mu}, \eta^i, \lambda^{\alpha})$

$$
S_{\mathsf{hCS}} = \int \Omega \wedge \text{tr} \Big(\frac{1}{2} A \wedge \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} A + \frac{1}{3!} A \wedge [A, A] + A^+ \wedge (\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} c + [A, c]) + \frac{1}{2} c^+ \wedge [c, c] \Big),
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \hat{e}^{\alpha} \hat{E}_{\alpha} + \hat{e}^0 \hat{E}_0, \qquad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{4}{|\lambda|^2} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{E_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \partial_{\text{red}} + 2\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \hat{e}^0 \wedge
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \square
$$

• Kaluza–Klein expansion on C*P*¹ gives infinite tower of auxiliary fields required for colour-kinematics duality

$$
A^{a}(x, \eta, \lambda) \sim A(x, \eta)^{a} + A(x, \eta)^{\alpha a} \lambda_{\alpha} + A(x, \eta)^{\alpha \beta a} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} + \cdots
$$

Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on twistor space

Self-dual super Yang–Mills theory is equivalent to holomorphic Chern–Simons theory on super twistor space $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ with local coordinates $(x^{\mu}, \eta^i, \lambda^{\alpha})$

$$
S_{\mathsf{hCS}} = \int \Omega \wedge \text{tr} \Big(\frac{1}{2} A \wedge \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} A + \frac{1}{3!} A \wedge [A, A] + A^+ \wedge (\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} c + [A, c]) + \frac{1}{2} c^+ \wedge [c, c] \Big),
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \hat{e}^{\alpha} \hat{E}_{\alpha} + \hat{e}^0 \hat{E}_0, \qquad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{4}{|\lambda|^2} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{E_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \partial_{\text{red}} + 2\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\alpha}} \iota_{\hat{E}_{\beta}} \hat{e}^0 \wedge
$$

$$
\overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \overline{\partial}_{\text{red}} = \square
$$

• Kaluza–Klein expansion on C*P*¹ gives infinite tower of auxiliary fields required for colour-kinematics duality

$$
A^{a}(x, \eta, \lambda) \sim A(x, \eta)^{a} + A(x, \eta)^{\alpha a} \lambda_{\alpha} + A(x, \eta)^{\alpha \beta a} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} + \cdots
$$

- Reproduces the kinematic Lie algebra of area-preserving diffeomorphisms on \mathbb{C}^2 identified in [Monteiro, O'Connell '11] cf. [Bonezzi, Diaz–Jaramillo, Nagy '23]
- Generalise to full Yang-Mills using ambitwistor space (to appear [BJKMSW '24])

Pure spinor actions:

$$
\int\Omega {\rm tr}\Big(\Psi Q\Psi+\tfrac{1}{3}\Psi\Psi\Psi\Big)
$$

Pure spinor actions:

$$
\int\Omega {\rm tr}\Big(\Psi Q\Psi+\tfrac{1}{3}\Psi\Psi\Psi\Big)
$$

- Super Yang–Mills tree-level colour–kinematics duality (*b*-ghost divergences obstruct loop-level proof) [Ben–Shahar, Guillum '21; BJKMSW '23]
- Bagger–Lambert–Gustavsson and ABJM theories of M2-branes have tree-level $colour-kinematics duality$ $BJKMSW$ '23] as conjectured in $Barsheer$, He, McLoughlin '12; Huang, Johansson '12]
- Double coy: cubic pure spinor actions for supergravity [BJKMSW '23]
- Natural conjecture: colour-kinematics duality for open string field theory

Curved backgrounds and classical double copy (beyond perturbation theory?) Cf. [Monteiro, O'Connell, White '14; Cardoso, Nagy, Nampuri '16; Luna, Monteiro, Nicholson, Ochirov, O'Connell, Westerberg, White '16; Berman, Chacón, Luna, White '18; Kosower, Maybee, O'Connell '18; Bern, Cheung, Roiban, Shen, Solon, Zeng '19; Bern, Luna, Roiban, Shen, Zeng '20; Chacón-Nagy, White '21; Adamo, Cristofoli, Ilderton '22 Lipstein, Nagy '23. . .]

Extra material for questions

Consider a cochain complex $(C^{\bullet}, \mathrm{d})$

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^i \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+2} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} \cdots
$$

 $d^2 = 0$ with some compatible algebraic structure ("mulitplication" map m)

$$
\mathsf{m}: C^i \times C^j \to C^{i+j}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto \mathsf{m}(x, y)
$$

Consider a cochain complex $(C^{\bullet}, \mathrm{d})$

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^i \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+2} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} \cdots
$$

 $d^2 = 0$ with some compatible algebraic structure ("mulitplication" map m)

$$
\mathsf{m}: C^i \times C^j \to C^{i+j}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto \mathsf{m}(x, y)
$$

$$
\mathsf{dm}(x,y) = \mathsf{m}(\mathsf{d} x,y) + (-)^x \mathsf{m}(x,\mathsf{d} y)
$$

Consider a cochain complex (C^{\bullet}, d)

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^i \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} C^{i+2} \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} \cdots
$$

 $d^2 = 0$ with some compatible algebraic structure ("mulitplication" map m) $m: C^i \times C^j \rightarrow C^{i+j}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto m(x, y)$

$$
\mathrm{dm}(x,y)=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{d}x,y)+(-)^x\mathrm{m}(x,\mathrm{d}y)
$$

Example: Hodge–de Rham complex $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$ of *i*-forms with exterior derivative

$$
\mathsf{m}(A_i,A_j)=A_i\,\wedge\,A_j=(-)^{ij}A_j\,\wedge\,A_i,\quad \mathsf{d}(A_i\,\wedge\,A_j)=\mathsf{d} A_i\,\wedge\,A_j+(-)^iA_i\,\wedge\,\mathsf{d} A_j
$$

is a differential graded commutative algebra (dgca)

 \cdots $\xrightarrow{d} C^i$ $\xrightarrow{d} C^{i+1}$ $\xrightarrow{d} C^{i+2}$ $\xrightarrow{d} \cdots$ d di d di d di d di d φ_i $\qquad \qquad \varphi_{i+1}$ $\qquad \qquad \varphi_{i+2}$

Given a morphism $\varphi : (C^{\bullet}, d) \to (\tilde{C}^{\bullet}, \tilde{d})$

Q: Can the algebraic structure m on (C^{\bullet}, d) also be transferred to an algebraic structure m̃ on $(\tilde{C}^{\bullet}, \tilde{d})$?

 $\cdots \longrightarrow {\tilde{C}}^i \longrightarrow {\tilde{C}}^{i+1} \longrightarrow {\tilde{C}}^{i+2} \longrightarrow \cdots$

˜d ˜d ˜d ˜d

Given a morphism $\varphi : (C^{\bullet}, d) \to (\tilde{C}^{\bullet}, \tilde{d})$

Q: Can the algebraic structure m on (C^{\bullet}, d) also be transferred to an algebraic structure m̃ on $(\tilde{C}^{\bullet}, \tilde{d})$?

A: Yes, if we allow for a richer homotopy algebraic structure

Algebraic identities (e.g. associativity, commutativity or Jacobi) hold only up to cochain homotopies

 \rightarrow tower of higher products d $(x) = m_1(x), m_2(x, y), m_3(x, y, z), \ldots$

$$
\mathsf{m}_n: C^{i_1} \times C^{i_2} \times \cdots \times C^{i_n} \to C^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_n - n + 2}
$$

Informally: generalise familiar algebras to include higher products satisfying higher relations up to homotopies:

 $ALGEBRA + HOMOTOPY = OPERAD_{[Valette '12]}.$

 L_{∞} -algebras are given by degree one differential derivations on $\mathcal{L}ie^!((V[1])^{*})$ for some graded vector space *V*

Operads are the appropriate mathematical arena for constructing homotopy algebras

$ALGEBRA + HOMOTOPY = OPERAD$ [Valette '12]:

 L_{∞} -algebras are given by degree one differential derivations on $\mathcal{L}ie^!((V[1])^{*})$ for some graded vector space *V*

Operads are the appropriate mathematical arena for constructing homotopy algebras

Unpacking this definition: an L_{∞} -algebra $\mathfrak L$ is a graded vector space $V\cong \bigoplus_i V_i$ together with graded anti-symmetric *i*-linear maps

 $\mu_i: V \times \cdots \times V \rightarrow V$

of degree $2 - i$ that satisfy the homotopy Jacobi identities

$$
\sum_{\substack{i=j+k}} (-1)^k \chi_{(\sigma; v_1, \ldots, v_i)} \mu_{k+1}(\mu_j(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(j)}), v_{\sigma(j+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}) = 0
$$

$$
\sigma \in \overline{\text{Sh}}(j, k; i)
$$

The first three homotopy Jacobi identities are

$$
\mu_1(\mu_1(v_1)) = 0
$$

$$
\mu_1(\mu_2(v_1, v_2)) = \mu_2(\mu_1(v_1), v_2) + (-1)^{|v_1|} \mu_2(v_1, \mu_1(v_2))
$$

$$
\mu_2(\mu_2(v_1, v_2), v_3) + (-1)^{|v_1||v_2|} \mu_2(v_2, \mu_2(v_1, v_3)) - \mu_2(v_1, \mu_2(v_2, v_3))
$$

= $\mu_1(\mu_3(v_1, v_2, v_3)) + \mu_3(\mu_1(v_1), v_2, v_3) + (-1)^{|v_1|} \mu_3(v_1, \mu_1(v_2), v_3)$
+ $(-1)^{|v_1| + |v_2|} \mu_3(v_1, v_2, \mu_1(v_3))$

- The unary product μ_1 is a differential and a derivation with respect to the binary product *µ*²
- The ternary product μ_3 captures the failure of the binary product μ_2 to satisfy the standard Jacobi identity